

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/698,743	10/27/2000	Kevin Bowen	99-26	6821
30031	7590 06/14/2005		EXAM	INER
	V. HAAS, INTELLECT	DAWSON, GLENN K		
RESPIRONICS, INC. 1010 MURRY RIDGE LANE MURRYSVILLE, PA 15668			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SP .				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/698,743	BOWEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Glenn K. Dawson	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the provision of the pro	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a repl ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 I	March 2005.					
	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 23,24,26,29,30,41,42,46,47 and 50 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 42 and 50 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 23,24,26,29,30,41,46 and 47 is/are is	awn from consideration.	on.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Jee the attached detailed Office action for a ils	it of the certified copies flot le	·				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3731

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of the rejected claims is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Ito and Braithwaite. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 23,24,26,29,30,41,46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willemot-5560353 in view of Ito-JP408292916 or Braithwaite, et al.-5644444.

Willemot discloses the placement of a card with stored information regarding a patient protocol into a ventilator which reads the information, sets up the ventilator to operate under the prescribed conditions and then writes information to the card which is then

taken to a location for reading by medical personnel. However, it is not disclosed that the ventilator is prevented from receiving information from the card after the initial reading.

Ito discloses that it was known to use a flag to prevent stored information on a floppy disk from being used without limitation by enabling a one-time only download.

Braithwaite discloses that it was known to prevent reading and writing to a disk under password protection in order to prevent unauthorized or inadvertent reading or writing to the disk.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,743

Art Unit: 3731

It would have been obvious to have prevented further reading of the information regarding the patient regimen protocol following initial reading and use of the ventilator, as this would prevent a user from inadvertently starting the ventilator when it is not intended to be used at all, or is to be used by another individual. It would also prevent unauthorized sharing of information regarding a particular patients medical condition.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 42 and 50 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 703-308-4304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,743

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Glenn K Dawson **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3731

Page 5

Gkd 09 June 2005